

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/965,112	09/26/2001	Kevin Petty	1060-0004	1060-0004 3952	
36291	7590 02/25/2005		EXAMINER		
STEVEN W. SMITH			SENFI, BE	SENFI, BEHROOZ M	
7237 BIRCHWOOD DRIVE DALLAS, TX 75240			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2613	2613	
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/965,112	PETTY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Behrooz Senfi	2613
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26.5</u> 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)    This action for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the option of the specific part of the speci	cepted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/26/2001.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/965,112 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seeley et al (US 6,091,771) in view of Monroe (US 2003/0025599).

Regarding claim 1, Seeley '771 teaches "a transportable security surveillance system for rapid installation in an area of interest ......" (i.e. fig. 1) and "an audio-video compressor (AVC) in the area of interest ......" (i.e. fig. 3, device 12) and "video camera in the area of interest ......" (i.e. fig. 3, camera 14) and "a microphone in the area of interest ......" (i.e. fig. 3, MIC 52) and "a triggering device in the area of interest ......" (i.e. fig. 2, sensors S1). Seeley '771 fails to explicitly mention "local area network (LAN)", for connecting a central security station to the area of interest. However, Seeley '771 (i.e. fig. 1, CS) shows the central station comprising router and video server and central alarm computer are interconnected with multiple work-station, all on a common network, such a network could be consider as a local area network (LAN). Furthermore, the supporting reference Monroe '599 (i.e. page 8, section 0112), explicitly mention the use of (LAN) and/or (WLAN) or (WAN), for interconnecting the above monitoring devices. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use (LAN) as a common local communication network between the devices.

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claim 2, combination of Seeley '771 and Monroe '599 teach, "memory for storing pre-trigger audio and video data ......" (i.e. page 8, section 0115 of Monroe and figs. 6 and 8, col. 6, lines 34 - 38 of Seeley).

Regarding claims 3 - 4, combination of Seeley '771 and Monroe '599 teach, "triggering device is wired to the AVC" and "triggering device includes a wireless trigger transmitter and a trigger receiver connected to the AVC in claim 4" (i.e. spage3, section 0025 and section 0027 of Monroe).

Regarding claims 5 – 6, combination of Seeley '771 and Monroe '599 teach, "alarm display in the central security station for providing a security guard with the real time audio and video data" (i.e. fig. 1, display 106 of Seeley) and "alarm display also provides instructions to the guard for handling the situation ...... in claim 6" reads on (i.e. abstract, lines 9 – 12 of Seeley and page 16, sections 0210 and 0215 of Monroe).

Regarding claims 7 – 8, combination of Seeley '771 and Monroe '599 teach, "in the central security station, a pager mechanism connected to the central security computer that automatically pages a security supervisor ...... in claim" reads on (i.e. pages 15 – 16, section 0206 - 0208 of Monroe) and "in the security station, an alarm event timer that terminates the streaming of real time audio and video data from the AVC to the central security computer after a predetermined period of time has expired in claim 8" (i.e. page 17, sections 0227 and 0228 of Monroe).

Regarding claims 9 – 10 and 22, combination of Seeley '771 and Monroe '599 teach, "in the central security station, a supervisor override function that enables the supervisor to override the alarm event timer and continue the streaming of real time

Art Unit: 2613

audio and video data ......" (i.e. page 14, section 0185 of Monroe) and "the AVC includes a reverse audio channel in claims 10 and 22" reads on (i.e. col. 8, lines 44 – 46 of Seeley).

Regarding clams 11 – 13 and 23, combination of Seeley '771 and Monroe '599 teach, "speaker connected to the AVC, and a second microphone ...... in claims 11 and 23" (i.e. fig. 2 of Seeley, shows multiple microphone and speakers" and as for "the database for storing the real time audio and video data after it is streamed ...... in claim 12" reads on (i.e. fig. 6, data base 116, page 4, sections 0029 and0032 of Monroe) and "the AVC also includes means for downloading the pre-triggered audio and video data stored in the AVC memory and sending the pre triggered data to the data base in claim 13" reads on (i.e. fig. 1 of Monroe and fig. 8 of Seeley).

Regarding claims 14 - 16, combination of Seeley '771 and Monroe '599 teach, "time stamp audio and video data ......" (i.e. page 11, sections 0156 and 0153 of Monroe) and "video cassette recorder ...... in claim 15" reads on (i.e. fig. 7, tape recorder 210 of Monroe) and "wherein the central security computer includes means for preventing the security guard from triggering the AVC to begin streaming real time audio and video data ...... in claim 16" reads on (i.e. page 14, section 0185 of Monroe).

Regarding claim 17 – 19, combination of Seeley '771 and Monroe '599 teach, "wherein the AVC includes an RS-232 interface ......" (i.e. page 6, section 0048, lines 8) and as for "wherein the central security computer includes means for sending a command over the LAN to the AVC to activate the remote control device in claim 18" reads on (i.e. pages 10 and 12, sections 0130 and 0159 of Monroe and col. 6, lines 20 –

Art Unit: 2613

25 of Seeley), and as for "remote control door lock for locking a door that provides an entrance and an exit to the area of interest in claim 19" is actually a wireless access control/ keyless entry, which is well known and would have been obvious to combine for wireless interaction purpose. Official Notice

Regarding claim 20, the limitations as claimed are substantially similar to combination of claims 1 and 5, therefore the grounds for rejecting claims 1 and 5 also applies here.

Regarding claim 21, the limitations as claimed are similar to claim 2, therefore the grounds for rejecting claim 2 also applies here.

Regarding claims 25 – 26, the limitations claimed are substantially similar to claim 7, therefore the grounds for rejecting claim 7 also applies here.

Regarding claim 24, combination of Seeley '771 and Monroe '599 teach, "radio transmitter in the central security station ....." (i.e. page 2, section 0018).

#### Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Behrooz Senfi** whose telephone number is (703)305-0132.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Chris Kelley** can be reached on **(703)305-4856**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2613

## Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

### Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relative to the status of the application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

B. S. 8. 7

2/9/2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000